

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/693,123	10/20/2000	Michael C. Barney	661005.90268	7800
26710 759	06/03/2002		· general de la constant de la const	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040			EXAMINER	
			GHALI, ISIS A D	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
:			1615	6
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/693,123	BARNEY ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isis Ghali	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply vortice of the interval of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, continued and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	s(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr lause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under EDisp sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim-for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ral Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt is acknowledged if applicants' amendment A, filed 03/26/2002.

Claims 1-7 are pending in the application and the status of the claims is as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not disclose the diaper as "dry" or "dry to touch".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 1615

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,313,178 ('178) by itself or in combination with US 6,183,763 ('763).

US '178 teaches a composition and method for inhibiting the *Staphylococcus* aureus growth. The method comprises contacting the bacteria with an effective amount of hexahydrolupulone (hexahydro-beta acid) or tetrahydroisohumulone (tetrahydroiso-alpha acid). The composition is formulated in an aqueous base water, alcohol, propylene glycol or glycerin. The composition is suitable for topical administration to the epidermis (abstract; col.1, lines 30-35; col.2, lines 1-57; col.3, lines 57-62; col.4, lines 63-67; col.5, lines 42, 54-57; col.7, lines 25-29).

Art Unit: 1615

US '178, however, does not teach the inclusion of alpha and beta acids and their derivatives in diapers or wipes.

It is well known in the art to include antimicrobial agents in the diapers and wipes to inhibit the bacterial growth.

US '763 teaches an antimicrobial wipes effective against gram-positive bacteria like staphylococcus aureus (abstract; col.3, lines 9-11, 58-60). The reference disclosed hops extract as one of the antibacterial agents to be included in the wipe (col.9, lines 11-18).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include alpha and beta acid derivatives in diaper or wipes with reasonable expectation of success of controlling staphylococcus aureus infection, and consequently, controlling toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 and toxic stress syndrome.

Motivation would arise from the teaching of US '178 that alpha and beta acid derivatives are effective in inhibiting the growth of the Staphylococcus aureus bacteria or from the secondary reference teachings that hops extracts can be included in the diapers and wipes to inhibit staphylococcus infection.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6,284,261 disclosed a diaper or wipe comprising antimicrobial agent to inhibit Staphylococcus aureus. US 6,262,038 disclosed a baby wipes

Art Unit: 1615

comprising alpha-hydroxy acids. US 5,840,760 disclosed the control of staphylococcus

infection by impregnation of antimicrobial agent into a diaper.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (703) 305-4048.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM to

5:30 PM, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

Isis Ghali

Examiner

Art Unit 1615

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISOBY/PAYENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 5